

## Unlocking a path dependency? The case of Brazilian ethanol implementation in Petrobras Oxford, UK Sept. 20th 2012

Msc Nelson Mojarro González SPRU- Science and Technology Policy Research Unit University of Sussex, UK N.Mojarro@sussex.ac.uk

# Oil firms and Biofuels ¿Transition from a path dependency system?

•Lock-in System based on oil products

•Fuel-Infrastructure-Subsidies-Car/Engines-Routines-

Distribution-Petrol Stations-Safety/Norms-Oil income (certain countries)

•System Technological trajectory (incremental changes)

•Changes – Brazilian case Hydrous Alcohol and Anydrous as aditive (up to 25%) -Subsidies-Car/Engines-Routine-Consumer experience Lock-in System based on oil products plus biofuels:

Changes:

- Flexfuel (Paradigm change)
- Climate change agenda Renewables Push
- Production costs reduction/increase in scale
- New technologies/New business opportunities
- ¿Shift in technological trajectory based on first and second generation biofuels?

## Petroleum Companies and Biofuels (Evolution)

- •Main factors 1970's
- •High oil prices
- •Need to develop ethanol run car engines
- •Energy Security
- •Policies:
- •High subsidies for production and implementation •
- •Design specifically for development of biofuels

- Main factors 2012: New conditions
- High oil prices
- Car paradigm change in market. Hybrid cars/Flexfuel
- Climate change/CO2 emissions
- New technologies
- Policies:
- Blending mandates operating in several countries EU/Brazil/US

## Peaks in Oil price; characteristic factor for Biofuels The Sussex Energy Group



Source: International Energy Agency 2012

University of Sussex





The study uses the approach of path dependency and argues that Oil firms have become locked in an oil dependent path that has recently been challenged by the increasing use of biofuels.

One of the questions that the study tries to answer is whether there is a trajectory shift due to ethanol implementation of oil firms and the drivers behind the decision to implement biofuels.

US University of Sussex Motor fuel production system The Sussex Energy Group PATH **FPS** DEPENDENT Technical Knowledge Production Base (Infrastructure) Car Gov. Distribution Strategy, Investments Industry Policy Local Market Economics **UNLOCKING A** Organization R&D and Innovation Shift ? L.T.S.? Structure Supplier Policy (norms, beliefs) NEW BIOFUELS **SYSTEM** 

#### SOCIO TECHNICAL REGIME

Driving forces:

Internal vs External Forces (Oil Prices, Energy Policy, Agroindustry, etc.)

### **Motor Fuel Production System (MFPS)**



MARKET NICHE DOMINANT

115

University of Sussex

THEORETICAL LITERATURE Path Dependence, Lock in and Lock out The Sussex Energy Group

- Zunsheng (1994) technological change is not a linear process. Arthur (1989), David (1985) and Roehrl and Riahi (2000) Initial conditions are crucial in technological choice that determines the **technological path** to be followed.

- Arthur (1989) when two technologies compete for adoption 'insignificant events' may give one of them a leading advantage and become dominant. Increasing returns favours the chances of adoption, once a course has been set **difficult to change**, that could have inferior long-run potential with respect to others.

- Hughes (1989) within LTS, radical changes difficult to occur.

- Geels (2004,2010,2011), Radical innovation come from niches and may produce a **REGIME to shift and INCUMBENT** firms into **reorientation**.

University of Sussex



#### LARGE TECHNICAL SYSTEMS (LTS)

-Interdependence of technologies, sunk costs, components, and practices.

#### **MOTOR FUEL PRODUCTION SYSTEM**

- LTS with increasing returns, economies of scale and path dependent. Many decades of incremental innovations.

#### - LOCKIN OIL PATH DEPENDENCY

Over a century, without major disruptions.

#### However,

#### **NEW CONDITIONS FOR OIL FIRMS**

-Stringent environmental quality specifications for their products (Szklo and Schaeffer 2007) Biofuel mandates, following regulations for CO2 reductions and other pollutants.

Modifying operations at various levels of the firms





- Case study analysis.

Qualitative Interviews.
 Company/Government/Industry Experts for each case.

- Additional data (Patents/Investments for first and second gen.

- R&D data

## PETROBRAS



- •A Brazilian Oil Company/Leader in Brazil.
- •Operates in 13 countries
- •2011 Revenue 145,915 billion dollars
- Partly state/party private owned
- •81,918 Employees

ETHANOL IN BRAZIL •SECOND PRODUCER IN THE WORLD •SUGARCANE BASED/LOWEST PRICE •PIONEER IN LARGE SCALE IMPLEMENTATION •HUGE AVAILABILITY OF ARABLE LAND FOR ETHANOL (ONLY 1-2% OF ARABLE LAND USED FOR ETHANOL PRODUCTION



### **MOTOR FUEL PRODUCTION SYSTEM HISTORY**

University of Sussex The Sussex Energy Group

1973 - - First "oil shock" 1975 - - PROALCOOL (ethanol program) BRAZIL AN OIL IMPORTER BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROBLEMS/SUGAR CANE PRICE VERY LOW

**ECONOMIC INCENTIVES** 

- 1982 - Gasohol 78% Gasoline + 22% Ethanol ETH 59% COST OF GASOLINE
- 1985 - 96% of TOTAL CAR SALES WERE OF ALCOHOL ONLY CARS 4.5MILLION CARS
- **1989 - Alcohol Supply Crisis**

1979 - - Second "oil shock"

**1997 - - Alcohol Production Excess** 

1979 - - First Alcohol Powered Car T

- 1998 - Ethanol Addition Increase (24%)
- 2000 - Ethanol Addition Diminution (20%)
- 2001 - Ethanol Addition From 20 to 26%
- 2003 - Flex Fuel Car (2.6% of New car sales)

2005 - - Ethanol Addition 25%

- Biodiesel addition to diesel (2% allowed in 2005, 5%

obligatory by 2013)

2009 - - Flex fuel Car (NEARLY 90% OF NEW CAR SALES)

END OF PROALCOOL IN 1990.

**PETROBRAS CHANGE OF STRATEGY** 



# Domestic sales of ethanol and automotive gasoline 2001-2010



Source: ANP 2011 Report. Ethanol includes both hydrous and anyhdrous. Gasoline does not include anhydrous, only Gasoline A. 2007-2008 PETROBRAS CHANGES STRATEGY, EMBRACES ETHANOL AND CREATES A NEW SUBSIDIARY: PETROBRAS BIOCOMBUSTIVEL

University of Sussex The Sussex Energy Group

## **Hydrous and Anhydrous Production in Brazil**





Source: ANP annual report 2011

Sugarcane production requires about 5% of Brazil's land devoted to primary food crops. IF sugar prod is taken into account it becomes 7.5%.

15

## **PETROBRAS STRATEGY**



- Associated with Ethanol Producers from 2008!
- 1st Generation ethanol (Brasil)
- 2nd Generation biofuels (CENPES)
- From 2008 PB Biofuel Business Unit (Petrobras Biocombustiveis)
- Challenged by large ethanol market share (prox 50%)
- Based on 8 decades of ethanol blending and distributing in Brazil.
- Export infrastructured planned (ethanol specific pipelines)
- Planned in 2011-2014, 4.1 \$ US Billion for Biofuels (300Mill R&D)
- Announced that PB Business saved 1 million tons of CO2 (Sust. Report 2010).

#### **PETROBRAS Network Distribution**



Source: Petrobras

### **PETROBRAS Ethanol Export Strategy**



#### Source: Petrobras

## Petrobras Ethanol Prodcution Capacity and Market Share

Ethanol Production	Number of Plants	Production capacity (Million liters)	Market share in Brazil
Before 2006	0	0	0
2012	9	893	4%
2015	9	5600	12%
	Extension capacity Planned	Increase of 627% from 2011	

Source: Own elaboration with data from Petrobras Business Plan 2011-2015 and Petrobras Magazine



## **Petrobras Biofuel R&D**

Petrobras R&D	2006-2010 US \$ millions	2011-2015 US \$ millions
Biofuels R&D	32	300
Average per year	8	75
Share of total R&D	5%	7%

Source: Own elaboration with data from Petrobras Business Plans

## **Petrobras Investments in Biofuels**

#### INVESTMENTS IN BIOFUELS



Source: Petrobras 2011-2015 Business Plan

US

University of Sussex The Sussex Energy Group





Source: Espacenet

Nelson Mojarro – Unlocking a path dependency?

### **Ethanol patents**



	Firms doing R&D	Patents	% of Total Patents
Input etanol	Biotech (Novozymes, Genencor, etc)	400	89.8%
Ethanol Producers	Productoras, ej. Abengoa, ADM, Cargill	9	2%
Oil firms/Distributors	Petroleras, ej Chevron, Texaco, Shell	36	8%

Source: Karmarkar-Deshmukh and Pray (2008) data from USPTO

## **Patents in Biofuels**



#### **Biofuel Patents**

#### Patents by Oil Firms



Fuente: Own elaboration with data from Espacenet

## Biofuel Patents in last six years by type



Source: Own elaboration with data from Espacenet



## Patents in Alternative Fuels (non fossil) by Oil Firms



Source: Own elaboration with data from Espacenet (Historic)



## **Oil Firm Patents by type of fuel**



Fuente: Espacenet. Clasificación para tecnologías de combustibles no fósiles. Hasta 12/08/2012

## Results



PETROBRAS	First Generation	Second Generation
Driver for implementation	External /Gov Mandate. Development of Flexfuel car	Internal . Environment/Business Opportunity
To what extent, rate and direction	Expansion in Downstream and Midstream. World leaders,	Demonstration stage/Behind peers
Technological Shift/Trajectory	"End of pipe" implementation	Two patents related to Renewable /one to Biofuels.
Technical Interrelatedness	Adjustments implemented until (Blend wall) for Anhydrous.	Similar
Economies of Scale	Started production in 2010	Potentially.
Irreversibility	Implementation seen as irreversible.	No



## Results

PETROBRAS	First Generation	Second Generation
Organisational Change	Minimum until 2008. Major change creating a BF Business Unit.	Minimum until 2009. Ethanol R&D programme
Infrastructure	Major Changes throughout, Became the Largest Distributor of Ethanol and large exporter.	

- More substantial changes happen when oil firms enter the upstream business of biofuels.

- Downstream oil firms, technical adjustments, which although costly in the beginning it has not represented a substantial technological or logistical problem for a large oil firm.

## Conclusions

TRANSITION IN PROCESS
SUSTAINED BIOFUEL MANDATE SINCE 1931
CHANGE OF FIRM STRATEGY FROM 2008.
LOCKED IN FIRST GENERATION ETHANOL.
LARGE INVESTMENT IN 1ST AND 2ND GEN.
INCREASING SHARE IN BIOFUEL
PRODUCTION AND TECHNOLOGICAL
DEVELOPMENT (ALLIANCES AND OWN R&D)

POSSIBLE SHIFT IN TECHNOLOGICAL TRAJECTORY (R&D AND PATENTS)
EXPANSION IN AREAS OF
KNOWLEDGE AND CAPABILITIES
(AGRIBUSINESS/BIOTECH)
NEW MARKET OPPORTUNITIES



## Thank you!

Msc Nelson Mojarro González SPRU- Science and Technology Policy Research Unit University of Sussex, UK N.Mojarro@sussex.ac.uk