The Economic Case for Action against Climate Change

BIEE Parker Seminar 19 February 2013

Sam Fankhauser Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change London School of Economics

Support by the UK Economic and Social Research Council and the Grantham Foundation is gratefully acknowledged



Overview

- How has concern about climate change evolved?
- How do economic developments affect the case for climate action?
- Is green growth a real possibility?

Starting point: IPCC's five reasons for concern



2°C from preindustrial

Source: IPCC TAR, 2001



Concern about unique systems

- Threat to unique systems is the main reason for 1.5°C proposals
- Coral reefs in particular are at risk from 1.5°C onward
 - Providing ecosystem services worth hundreds of billions of dollars
 - Climate change is only one of many stress factors
- But is 1.5°C still feasible?



Concern about more extreme events

- There is a perception we are seeing more extreme weather
 - Russian forest fires, US drought, UK floods
 - But scientific evidence is still unclear (from models, disaster statistics)
- This perception might gradually influence public opinion
 - E.g. US after Hurricane Sandy



Concern about fairness

- Fair outcome remains a key concern in the international negotiations
 - Climate change could reverse much of the development progress of recent decades
- Main negotiation issue is burden sharing, not overall target per se
 - We can no longer stabilise the climate without developing country contributions
 - Use climate finance, loss & damage to get a fair outcome



Concern about aggregate costs, benefits

- Climate action no longer argued for primarily on costs-benefits grounds
 - UK shadow price of carbon is no longer based on social costs
 - But US social cost discussion is still alive
- Integrated assessment models are not sophisticated, robust enough for policy
 - But they identify key sensitivities (discount rate, climate sensitivity, equity weights)

Net Negative in All Metrics

Positive or Negative Market Impacts; Majority of People Adversely Affected

Climate action as risk management

- Emission reduction is increasingly interpreted as sensible insurance
 - E.g. Committee on Climate Change: Stay close to 2°C; minimise risk of 4°C
- People already pay for similar kinds of insurance
 - Life insurance spending: 5% of GDP in OECD, 2% of GDP elsewhere
 - Military defence budgets: 1% of GDP or more even on strictly defensive armies



Overview

- How has concern about climate change evolved?
- How do economic developments affect the case for climate action?
- Is green growth a real possibility?



Is a recession the right time for green policy?

- Decarbonisation is a structural, not a cyclical issue
- But many low-carbon investments could offer a good (i.e., targeted, timely, temporary) economic stimulus



Growing green investment needs

Source: Zenghelis (2012) and Vivid Economics (2011)

Falling aggregate investment

Has the recession made carbon targets easier?

- Lower GDP means lower emissions
- But carbon price signal is weaker, certainly in the EU



EU allowance price (€/tCO2, spot)



Have the international dynamics changed?

- Delay and less optimism about a new global treaty
 - Now focused on COP21 in 2015
- Disagreement in the EU
- Much national legislative action and momentum elsewhere
 - Including emerging emitters like China, Mexico, Korea
- UK, EU policy leadership is at risk



Terry Townshend, Sam Fankhauser, Rafael Aybar, Murray Collins, Tucker Landesman, Michal Nachmany and Carolina Pavese

W RLD SUMMIT OF LEGISLATORS THE LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

Can the Exchequer still afford low-carbon policies?

- Some carbon policies raise revenue
 - Carbon floor price
 - Auctioning of EU allowances
 - Cancellation of CRC revenue recycling
 - But: reluctance to raise fuel duty further
- Some carbon policies cost money, although usually through utility bills not taxes
 - Renewables (Contract for Differences / ROCs)
 - Energy Efficiency (Green Deal, ECO)
 - Capitalisation of Green Investment Bank



Can people still afford climate policies?

Energy (gas + electricity) bills raise for both market and policy reasons





Has the technology landscape changed?

- Steady, but uneven progress on lowcarbon technologies
 - Delays on CCS; maybe nuclear, electric cars
 - More objection to wind, but pipeline still strong
 - Cost of solar PV has fallen rapidly
- Fossil-fuel technologies fight back
 - A classic "sailing ship" effect?
 - US shale gas has made international coal cheaper, maybe gas in the longer term
 - Scope and effect of European shale gas still unclear, but likely smaller



Overview

- How has concern about climate change evolved?
- How do economic developments affect the case for climate action?
- Is green growth a real possibility?

What do we mean by green growth?

Decarbonisation imposes a cost, but it is small relative to the global growth outlook



Is there a green growth opportunity?

- Literature talks about the aggregate (side-) benefits of moving to a green economy
 - Pigouvian market imperfections
 - Schumpeterian innovation
 - Mathusian constraints to growth
- Countries are more interested in their relative position (green competitiveness)
 - Korea's green growth law; China's seven strategic industries; European green jobs rhetoric
 - This ignores that both consumers and producers would benefit



What is the scope for green jobs?

- Short-term job creation is good if there is slack in the labour market
- In the long term the quality of jobs (productivity) is more important



Salaries in carbon trading (2008)

Labour intensity of energy



Sources: Carbon Salary Survey 2009; Kammen et al (2006)

Can the UK be a green growth leader?

Japan and Germany are better at green innovation, including in areas of comparative strength



Data for 110 manufacturing sectors (4-digit level). Y-axis measures green innovation; x axis measures current comparative advantage. In both cases a higher values indicate better performance and the global average is one. The size of the bubble represents current output

Source: Fankhauser et al 2012

Conclusions

- The economic case for climate action is about rational risk management, although ethics matter
- The current economic situation is no reason to delay the low-carbon transition
- Green growth is possible, although mitigation does impose a cost
- Political headwind is putting the Climate Change Act to the test



The Economic Case for Action against Climate Change

BIEE Parker Seminar 19 February 2013

Sam Fankhauser Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change London School of Economics

Support by the UK Economic and Social Research Council and the Grantham Foundation is gratefully acknowledged

