



# Economies of scale versus the learning curve in the heat sector

A presentation to the British Institute of Energy Economics Conference

**Dr** Gareth Davies

23rd September 2010

### Tackling heat is vital to delivering a low-carbon future

 Heat production is responsible for 49% of the final energy consumed in the UK, and 47% of the carbon emissions.





- conventional heating systems are already small-scale
  - rely on provision of fuel to the building with heat production on-site
  - large-scale (network) solutions have achieved very low penetration in the UK
- main policy development is around incentivising stand-alone renewable technologies
  - ground and air source heat pumps
  - biomass boilers
  - solar thermal water heating
  - micro-CHP



## Can bigger be better?



- improved energy efficiency
- CO<sub>2</sub> reductions
- (potential) low cost solution
- flexibility to utilise a range of heat sources

A District Heating Network



## Conventional heating is hard to compete with on cost...



District heating is more competitive against electric heating in areas of high heat density

Renewable solutions more competitive against oil (off gas grid) and electric



#### ...though carbon savings can be substantive



 We calculate that a district heating network covering 250,000 households may save between 0.25 Mt CO2 and 1.25 Mt CO2 relative to conventional heating systems annually

#### Carbon abatement costs make interesting reading





## The tipping points between technologies



- Heat pumps speed and cost of electricity decarbonisation
- District heating networks speed of network deployment and building efficiency improvements



Х

### It is feasible to access waste heat at scale...



Top 15 GB cities by population

Potential for large scale industrial CHP

Power stations within 15km of a city

| Power Station Site | Nearest City      | Distance | Population |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|
| Barking            | East London       | 10km     | c1m        |
| Littlebrook        | South-east London | 15km     | c1m        |
| Enfield            | North London      | 10km     | c1m        |
| Ratcliffe          | Nottingham        | 6km      | 0.6m       |
| Fiddlers Ferry     | Liverpool         | 15km     | 0.8m       |
| Kingsnorth         | Medway Towns      | 10km     | 0.1m       |
| Teesside           | Middlesborough    | 2km      | 0.14m      |
| Saltend            | Hull              | 6km      | 0.3m       |
| Seabank            | Bristol           | 8km      | 0.5m       |
| Uskmouth           | Newport           | 5km      | 0.14m      |
| Peterborough       | Peterborough      | 2km      | 0.14m      |



... just not in the current institutional and commercial framework

- economic barriers
  - project risk (inexperience, coordination, revenue variability, access to capital and long term network utilization)
  - project cost (high civils costs, lack of standardised contracts, competition with existing networks, financing and inability to access full revenues).
- competition and liberalisation exacerbate the risk of heat network development
  - major coordination issues
  - vertical integration 'necessary' to establish networks
  - nature of relationship between provider and consumer
- inertia around the status quo is stronger for heat than power





Dr Gareth Davies 01865 812204, gareth.davies@poyry.com Pöyry Energy Consulting King Charles House Park End Street Oxford, UK OX1 1JD

+44 (0)1865 722660 www.poyry.com www.ilexenergy.com

Pöyry Energy (Oxford) Ltd. Registered in England No. 2573801. King Charles House, Park End Street, Oxford OX1 1JD.

