# Contract Renegotiation and Rent Re-distribution: Who Gets Raked Over the Coals?

Lea Kosnik University of Missouri, St. Louis Ian Lange University of Stirling

## The Question: How do Policy Shocks Affect Long-Term Contracts?

The Context: Contracts: U.S. coal contracts Policy Shock: 1990 Clean Air Act



#### Model

Contracts range in their completeness or flexibility due to asset specificity, availability of alternatives, etc

#### The Hypothesis:

The degree of flexibility within a contract affects the opportunities for parties to respond to outside (policy) shocks.

Therefore, more (less) flexibly written contracts should respond to policy shocks better (worse) and have a lower (higher) probability of explicit renegotiation

# History of SO<sub>2</sub> Regulation

#### The Clean Air Act (CAA) of 1970

States "regulate" existing boilers, generally with generous emissions standards

Feds regulate new boilers w/ a binding emissions standard

#### 1990 CAA, Title IV

National cap on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions w/ tradable permits

Phase | 1995-1999: 263 "dirtiest" boilers

Phase II 2000-: All boilers

## Timing:



Data:

Coal Transportation Rate Databasemaintained by the US Energy Information Administration Estimation: Logit/Probit



## Dependent Variable

- Contracts with a vintage of 1990 or earlier that were still in effect in 1994 are used in the analysis
- Binary, Renegotiated contracts set equal to I; Contract continued equal to 0
- Contracts signed in 1991 or later are excluded from the analysis.

## Independent Variables:

#### **Contract Parameters**

Sulfur upper bound; Quantity; Price adjustment mechanism; Price relative to market; Years from 1994 to expiration; Year signed dummies

#### Plant & Mine Attributes

% of total coal from contract; Distance apart; Phase I status; Minemouth & Coal region dummies

## Expected Signs

Dependent Variable: Renegotiated 1991-1994 or Not		
Independent Variable	Expected Sign	
Allowable Sulfur Upper Bound	-	
Phase I Plant	+	
Phase I * Allowable Sulfur	+	
Distance Apart (100 Miles)	+	
Plant Dedicated Assets	+	
Mine Dedicated Assets	+	
Minemouth Plant	+	
Quantity (1000 tons)	+	
Rigid Price Adjsutment	+	
Relative Price	?	
Years Till Expiration	+	
88-90 Year Signed	?	
85-87 Year Signed	?	
Interior Coal Mine	?	
Western Coal Mine	?	
?= Ambiguous		

#### **Results:**

Probit Estimation-Marginal Effects	Full Sample		Contracts Sig	ned Pre-1988
Dependent Variable: Renegotiated between 1991-1994 or Not				
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	Estimate	Std. Error
Allowable Sulfur Upper Bound	-0.11***	0.04	-0.11**	0.05
Phase I Plant	-0.07	0.13	0.06	0.16
Phase I * Allowable Sulfur	0.12*	0.07	0.15*	0.08
Distance Apart (100 Miles)	0.03***	0.00	0.03***	0.00
Plant Dedicated Assets	-0.12	0.12	-0.08	0.12
Mine Dedicated Assets	-0.15	0.15	-0.06	0.15
Minemouth Plant	-0.20	0.13	-0.23	0.13
Quantity (1000 tons)	0.05**	0.02	0.05*	0.02
Rigid Price Adjsutment	0.11	0.08	0.19**	0.08
Relative Price	-0.03*	0.02	-0.03*	0.02
Years Till Expiration from 1994	0.01**	0.00	0.02**	0.00
88-90 Year Signed	-0.02	0.08		
85-87 Year Signed	-0.06	0.09	-0.06	0.09
Interior Coal Mine	0.09	0.1	0.07	0.1
Western Coal Mine	-0.25**	0.08	-0.25**	0.08
Ν	274		229	
R-Squared	0.16		0.21	
*, **, *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% statistical significance				

# Counterfactual

Probit Estimation-Marginal Effects	Full Sample		
Dependent Variable: Renegotiated between 1984-1986 or Not			
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	
Allowable Sulfur Upper Bound	-0.06**	0.03	
Phase I Plant	0.33***	0.06	
Distance Apart (100 Miles)	0.00	0.00	
Plant Dedicated Assets	-0.43***	0.13	
Mine Dedicated Assets	0.20*	0.11	
Minemouth Plant	-0.10	0.14	
Rigid Price Adjsutment	-0.04	0.07	
Quantity (1000 tons)	0.03	0.03	
Relative Price	-0.01	0.02	
Years Till Expiration	0.01	0.01	
83-84 Year Signed	0.12	0.11	
Interior Coal Mine	0.01	0.09	
Western Coal Mine	-0.10	0.10	
Ν	281		
R-Squared	0.12		
*, **, *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% statistical significance			

# Price in Renegotiated Contracts

Dependent Variable: Real Price of Coal			
Estimation: Hedonic Price Difference-in Difference Model	Difference-in-Difference Parameter		
Sample	Estimate	Std. Error	
All Plants (N=3409)	-1.32	1.31	
All Phase I Plants (N=2992)	-1.77	1.17	
Phase I Plants with Western Mine Contracts (N=348)	3.38	1.99	
Phase I Plants with Interior Mine Contracts (N=813)	-2.03	1.28	
Other Explanatory Variables: Btu, Sulfur, Ash, & Moisture Content; Total Distance; Contract,			
Year & Mine District Dummies			
Errors Clustered by Utility			



## Implications

Contract for Phase I plant with high allowable sulfur upper bound more likely to be renegotiated

Results not consistent with long-term coal contracts impeding the full cost savings potential of Phase I of 1990 CAAA

### Renegotiations



## Summary Statistics

	Full Sample	
	N=273	
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Renegotiated Contracts	0.36	0.48
Duration	21.90	10.67
Allowable Sulfur Upper Bound	1.39	1.26
Phase I Plant	0.24	0.43
Distance Apart (100 Miles)	4.15	4.39
Plant Dedicated Assets	0.37	0.34
Mine Dedicated Assets	0.19	0.27
Quantity (1000 tons)	1.15	1.30
Minemouth Plant	0.05	0.21
Rigid Price Adjustment	0.81	0.40
Relative Price	0.29	1.53
Years Till Expiration from 1994	7.03	5.92
88-90 Yr Signed	0.14	0.35
85-87 Year Signed	0.14	0.34
Appalachian Coal Mine	0.49	0.50
Interior Coal Mine	0.18	0.36
Western Coal Mine	0.31	0.48